East Petitio	TE OF WASHINGTON G COUNTY DISTRICT COURT Division, Shoreline Courthouse LOLY K. BLIOT Oner vs.	NO. 1570-00695
		NO.
Respo	Anne K. Block	ORDER TO PROCEED WITHO PAYMENT OF FEES (HARASSMENT) (ORALFF)
	I. BASIS	
	er court related fees, or service fees to proceed with this II. ORDER ORDERED that:	s action.
2.1	The petitioner is permitted to proceed without payment service fee.	nt of the filing fee, other related court
2.2	The clerk of the court shall file papers and pleadings of payment of filing or other court related fees.	of the petitioner in this proceeding wit
2.3	The(Law Enforcement agency where re shall serve all papers in this action without charging a	
2.4	Other:	
	D 5/4//Sat 2:45/1/1/10.	PN .T
2.3	The(Law Enforcement agency where reshall serve all papers in this action without charging a Other:	espondent resides)

at .

•

County of King County of King The undersigned, duly authorized Clerk of King-County District Court East Division. Shoreline Courthouse of the State of Washington, does hereby certify that the document upon which this stamp is imprinted is a true and correct copy of the original document, and the whole of it, as such document is on file with the aforesaid. Court. In witness whereof. I have set my hand this day of KCDC EAST DIVISION SHORELINE COURTHOUSE	
DISTRICT COURT OF WASHINGTON	
Lori K. BATTOT 01/15/73	No. 150-00695 Temporary Protection Order and
Petitioner (Person Protected), DOB vs. Anne K B ock Calla (a) Respondent (Person Restrained). DOB	Notice of Hearing – Stalking (TMOSTKH) (Clerk's action required) Next Hearing Date and Time: 18050 Meridian Ave N Shoreline, WA 98133 At: 5/15/15 at 8:45 m #
	Respondent Identifiers Sex Race Hair
Respondent's Distinguishing Features: Corty blaneti's body really sking	Female white blons
Caution: Access to weapons: \(\sqrt{yes} \sqrt{,no},	Height Weight Eyes
unknown she has stated she corries / he	s cpl (claims to)
Petitioner is 16 years of age or older and is a victim of	
Petitioner/s are the following minor child/ren who are Name	victims of stalking:
(First, Middle Initial, Last)	Age
☐ The child/ren's parent or guardian filed the petition:	or
A person who is not the parent or guardian, with where the person who is not the parent.	
Petitioner is a vulnerable adult as defined in RCW 74 An interested person filed the petition.	
The court has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject his or her opportunity to be heard at the scheduled hearing	
No contact provisions begin on the next page.	
The terms of this order shall be effective until:	the end of the hearing, noted above.

Based upon the petition, testimony, and case record, the court finds: 1) that the respondent committed stalking conduct against the petitioner; 2) that there is good cause to grant each remedy, regardless of the lack of prior service or notice upon the respondent, because the harm which each remedy is intended to prevent would be likely to occur if the respondent were given any prior notice, or greater notice than was actually given, of the petitioner's efforts to obtain judicial relief. It is ordered that:

Respondent is restrained from having any contact, including nonphysical contact, with the victim/s directly, indirectly, or through third parties regardless of whether those third parties know of the order, except for mailing or service of process of court documents by a 3rd party or contact by respondent's lawyer(s).
Respondent is <i>prohibited</i> from keeping the victim/s under surveillance, including electronic surveillance, and from keeping under surveillance, including electronic surveillance the following children who are not victims of stalking:
Respondent is excluded from the victim/s' residence workplace school day care the workplace, school or day care of the following children who are not victims of stalking.
PRespondent is <i>prohibited</i> from knowingly coming within or knowingly remaining within 1,000 fut (distance) of the victim/s' residence workplace school day care.
☑ The address is confidential ☐ The petitioner waives confidentiality of the victim's address which is:
Surrender of Weapons
•
Respondent is ordered to surrender any firearm or other dangerous weapon in respondent's possession or control to, by (date)
☐ See separate Surrender of Weapons order.

- The respondent is directed to appear and show cause why the court should not enter an order for protection effective for one year or more and order the relief requested by the petitioner or other relief the court deems proper, which may include payment of costs.
- Failure to appear at the hearing or to otherwise respond will result in the court issuing an
 order for protection stalking pursuant to Laws of 2013, ch. 84, effective for a minimum of
 one year from the date of the hearing. The next hearing date and time is shown below the
 caption on page one.
- The respondent may petition the court to modify or terminate the order if the respondent does not receive actual prior notice of the hearing and if the respondent alleges a meritorious defense to the order or that the order or its remedy is not authorized by this chapter.

f this stalking protection order of a violator to arrest. You car ws you to violate the order's provision of violating the order's provision	n be arrested even i rohibitions. You
der RCW 26.50.110.	
ne 50 states, the District of Co nd within the United States sh	olumbia, Puerto nall accord full
ta Entry	
a copy of this order on or before the County Sheriff's Office onter it in a computer-based control list outstands.	e l⊿ Police riminal
ico	
a copy of this order on or before County Sheriff's County Sheriff's County Sheriff's County Sheriff's County Sheriff's County Shall personally serve the respectation of this court process for service of this order.	Office LℲ∕Police condent with a
ate and time shown below to	the caption on
I acknowledge receipt of a	copy of this Order
Respondent	Date
	et a violator to arrest. You can be you to violate the order's provision of the conder's provision of the conder's provision of the conder of

For Shoreline	
LORI BATIOT Petitioner, vs. ANNE BLOCK	No. 15to- 00to 9 5 Petition for an Order for Protection - ⊠ Harassment (PTORAH) and/or ⊠ Stalking (PTORSTK)
Respondent.	
This is a Petition for an Order for Prand/or Stalking as checked in the care	_
I believe: I am, or the minor or vulnerable adult I am per the respondent and I, or the minor or vuln never have been related by blood or marriage relationship, and the respondent has been - stalking me either in person or (cyber state - repeatedly contacting me or attempting to purpose and his/her actions caused me to	erable adult I am petitioning for, are not and ge, lived together, or been in a dating
 I am, or the minor I am petitioning for is, a view respondent's actions toward me have serious detrimental to me and they serve no legitimal actions have caused me substantial emotions being of my child. ☑ The respondent and I: ☐ are or have been related by blood or relationship 	sly alarmed, annoyed or harassed me, or are te or lawful purpose. The respondent's
	ed by blood or marriage, lived together, or

Pt for an Or for Protection – Harassment/Stalking (PTORAH, PTORSTK*) – Page 1 of 11 WPF UHST-02.0200 (01/2015) – RCW 10.14.040, .800, RCW 7.92.030

I have given a detailed explanation below.

My name is LORI BATIOT. I am the petitioner.

1. Who is the petitioner?

		I am 18 or older and I am petitioning on my own behalf. I am 16 or 17 and I am petitioning on my own behalf. I am the parent or guardian of child/ren under age 18 and I am petibehalf:	itioning on their
		Children's Name/s	
		(First, Middle Initial, Last)	Age
		C.B	Minor
		AND	
		I am not the parent or guardian, but the child/ren live/s with me; an their behalf; and the respondent is not a parent.	nd I am petitioning o
		Children's Name/s (First, Middle Initial, Last)	Age
			hip to this petitione
2.		interested person as defined in RCW 74.34.020(10). My relationsl is s the respondent?	hip to this petitione
2.		is	hip to this petitione
	Anne	s the respondent?	hip to this petitione
2. 3.	Anne Where	s the respondent?	hip to this petitione
	Anne Mhere Petitio	is s the respondent? E. Block e do the parties live?	
	Anne Mhere Petitio Did the	is s the respondent? E. Block do the parties live? ner lives in KING County. e petitioner leave their residence because of stalking conduct and ew residence?	
	Where Petition Did their number of Children	is s the respondent? E. Block do the parties live? ner lives in KING County. e petitioner leave their residence because of stalking conduct and ew residence? Yes \bigsim No	
	Where Petition Did the their number of the Children Response	is s the respondent? E. Block do the parties live? ner lives in KING County. e petitioner leave their residence because of stalking conduct and ew residence? Yes No en named above live in King County.	

Statement describing the victim/s need for protection from the respondent

• Write clearly. If you need more space below, attach additional page/s. Do not write on the back.

5. Describe what the Respondent did or said that you think is harassment or stalking.

- You must describe what the respondent actually said.
- You must describe what the respondent actually did.

The respondent has committed acts of harassment or stalking as follows:

A. Describe the most recent incident of harassment or stalking.

Date and time (on or around): April 19, 2015

Location: Home & Work, as set forth more fully below.

What did the respondent do or say that you believe to be harassing or stalking behavior?

I am a 17-year veteran law enforcement officer and am a Sergeant with the Duvall Police Department. On March 17, 2015, Crystal Pennington and John Pennington came to the Duvall Police Department to report ongoing harassment/stalking by respondent Anne Block. The details of the reported harassment are set forth in the incident report I drafted, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit A. The Penningtons reported that they and their co-workers, friends, family, business associates, and childrens' schools had been repeatedly contacted by Block and/or investigators working for her. The Penningtons also reported that investigators working for Ms. Block went to their house when they were not home and convinced their young daughter to open the door and speak with them. This intimidated and frightened the Penningtons and their daughter. Ms. Block is suing the Penningtons and a host of other individuals and entities in the US District Court for the Western District of Washington at Seattle, Cause No. C14-0235 RAJ. For this court's reference, I have attached a copy of a declaration filed by counsel for Snohomish County (Exhibit B) and an order entered by Judge Richard Jones (Exhibit C), which document Ms. Block's conduct. I am providing the Court with this information because it provides important context to Ms. Block's conduct directed towards me, which is clearly a continuation in a pattern of harassing behavior. Although I have interacted with them professionally a couple of times, I have absolutely no friendship or social relationship with the Penningtons.

Ms. Block maintains an online blog called the "Gold Bar Reporter". Attached as **Exhibit D** is a copy of her April 13, 2015 posting, which includes a photograph of me and contains false allegations directed at me.

These contacts have been through both direct and third party contacts, and through numerous electronic communications and other means. She has named me as party in what she believes to be a "RICO" lawsuit. She has emailed council members with information meant to embarrass and intimidate me, as well as naming them in the same "lawsuit".

On April 12, 2015, I called a number of phone numbers potentially belonging to Ms. Block in order to interview her about the Penningtons' stalking/harassment complaint. This was the first time I had ever tried to communicate with Ms. Block. I left Ms. Block messages relaying my desk phone number, department business phone number, department cell phone number, and department email as contact information. She did not attempt to contact me regarding the investigation. I left a message at one point when I was trying to reach her in which I stated that if I was unable to reach her by phone I might try to contact her at the last known address given for her. I also stated that I was trying to get her version of events for an investigation and would have to move on with the case based solely on the information I had so far if I could not reach her. I have worked many cases over my career and this attempt to contact Ms. Block was consistent with any other fair and thorough investigation.

Within minutes of attempting to contact Ms. Block, I began receiving messages from her requesting records relating to the Penningtons. The emails were not responsive to my attempts to get information for my investigation. I received these messages through department email (lori.batiot@duvallwa.gov) and on my department issued cell phone (206-391-3306). I received an email message from "GBR goldbarreporter@comcast.net, Ms. Block's blog website, at 1608 hours on April 12, 2015. I sent one reply at 1613 hours which stated:

"Ms. BLOCK,

I am attempting to contact you for your side of events involving allegations against you. Please contact me immediately to give your version of events. Otherwise I will be forced to forward the case to the prosecutor to review for charges based only on the information I have so far.

You may reach me at the same number I just left for you. Though you have apparently received the voice mail I left for you, I would ask again that you call me at 425-939-8004.

Sergeant Lori Batiot

Community Police Resource Supervisor

Duvall Police Department

26225 NE Stephens Street

POB 1500

Duvall, WA 98019

Office 425-788-1519

Cell 206-391-3306

Fax 425-788-1169"

I received another email from Ms. Block at 1718 hours, requesting records about the Penningtons. Ms. Block did not try to contact me about the investigation through any of the several work contacts she had clearly received. This demonstrated to me that she was not going to cooperate in the investigation and I did not attempt to contact her further.

Soon I began receiving text messages to my work phone through a Twitter account that I also recognize from the investigation as belonging to Ms. Block. The messages read as follows:

On April 12, 2015 at 1842 hours @Lightsrcomingon:@Duvall_Police more public records in support of pedo from a La Munchia criminals records http://t.co/gOFVBAtyqQ/s?3Mrb m.twitter.com/i/connect

04/13/2015 @10:37 hours @Lightsrcomingon:@Duvall_Police@SnoCoReporter Snohomish County Insider blows whistle, Duvall now a RICO defendant http://t.co/1nfNQqYEj/s/lzJi

On April 13, 2015 at 1630 hours @Lightscomingon:@Duvall_police Judge shut down Pennington's asserting "cannot infringe on 1st Amend" http://t.co/FuS705IAOU/s/j6A3 @snorthnews (emoticons of sunshine blowing kisses and numerous grey clouds)

On April 13, 2015, Ms. Block posted a link to Twitter under the name of Gold Bar Reporter. The link prominently features a picture of me that appears to have been taken form the city web page. In it she accuses me of unspecified RICO violations and of violating her civil rights.

On April 13, 2015 at 1924 hours @Lightscomingon@Duvall_Police@SnoCoReporter Officer Batoit Duvall hires police offices w/ past DUIs? Oh boy this just getting 2 good! A drunk violates

Pt for an Or for Protection – Harassment/Stalking (PTORAH, PTORSTK*) – Page 4 of 11 WPF UHST-02.0200 (01/2015) – RCW 10.14.040, .800, RCW 7.92.030

On April 13, 2015 at 1841 hours, Ms. Block wrote on the Duvall Police Department Facebook page. There was a posting of me sitting with a troop of Daisy Scouts. Ms. Block wrote, "Ms. BATOIT [sic] you should be ashamed of yourself for taking pictures of young children and placing them online! Are you stupid? Do you now know there are pedophiles who prey on our children!!!! Stupid is as stupid does. Just unbeivable [sic]! Might try taking a few classes at the local community college."

Ms. Block has clearly stated that she is aware that I am a police officer in the City of Duvall in King County.

On April 14, 2015 at 0800 hours, I received a phone call on my personal, unlisted cell phone number from male who stated that he is working for client Anne Block. When I answered the phone the investigator asked for me simply by my first name, "Lori." A screenshot of that call is attached as **Exhibit E**. When I asked to whom I was speaking, he told me he was Neil Harrison and that he was an investigator calling to ask me questions for his client. I immediately recognized that this was likely one of the same people Ms. Block had sent to the Penningtons' residence last month. Mr. Harrison chuckled as he told me that he wanted to ask me questions and that he would try to keep me from going to federal prison. Mr. Harrison stated that he was working for Anne Block. I informed him that he should be aware that she was being investigated for criminal offenses (this would be the stalking case against the Penningtons) and that he needed to be cautious that his actions did not aid and abet Ms. Block in her suspected criminal activities. I obtained Mr. Harrison's business and contact information and told him I was no longer handling the case against Ms. Block and that if he wished to have any further contact about the matter it would need to be through my Commander, my Police Chief, or our legal representation.

I also told Mr. Harrison very clearly that I found his and Block's behavior alarming. I demanded that he and Block make no further attempts to directly contact me or my family and that they were to stay away from my house, schools, or any other place that cause my family and I to be placed in fear by their harassment. I believe the act of finding and calling my personal number is threatening and it places me in fear of Ms. Block and her hired help. It demonstrates that Ms. Block and her hired investigator can find and contact me in my personal life outside the scope of my workplace. This conduct led me to conclude that Ms. Block demonstrated her ability to contact me in my private life on a private phone line in order to intimidate and harass me. There was absolutely no other reason that Ms. Block would have needed to have contacted me through that number, given that I had previously provided her with every other available means to contact me at my workplace. I believe that Ms. Block has directed her stalking behavior toward be to retaliate against me for carrying out my duties as a police officer as well as to interfere with my ability to continue to function as an officer.

Soon after Ms. Block's investigator contacted me on my private cell phone, I began receiving more Twitter messages on my department cell phone. These messages read as follows:

On April 14, 2015 at 0839 hours: @Lightsrcomingon:@Duvall_Police@SnoCoReporter Officer Batoit threatened my investigators w/ criminal prosecution and Stated RICOnmember Kenyon is prosecutor

On April 14, 2015 at 0851 hours: @Lightsrcomingon:@Duvall_Police@SnoCoReporter more

Pt for an Or for Protection – Harassment/Stalking (PTORAH, PTORSTK*) – Page 5 of 11 WPF UHST-02.0200 (01/2015) – RCW 10.14.040, .800, RCW 7.92.030

records linking Duvall_Police to RICO members at Snohomish County @821 this morning m.twitter.com/i/connect

On April 14, 2015 at 0955 hours: @Lightsrcomingon:@Duvall_Police@SnoCoReporter UPDATE:Duvall officer Lori Batoit threatens investigators w/ criminal prosecution, she is now a RICO member

At 1315 hours on April 14, 2015, Ms. Block submitted another public records request to the City of Duvall, requesting my personal cell phone records.

On April 19, 2015 at approximately 0200 hours, I was asleep in my residence and was awoken by something. I moved to another room and then started to fall back to sleep. I was awoken again by the sound of knocking on the door of my residence. My dog was alert and growled. There was a pause in the knocking and I listened to see what would happen next. Someone began knocking again. It was a clear and deliberate knocking at my front door. I tried to look out from an upstairs window to see who it was, but I did not have a clear view of the area. I moved to a lower floor and armed myself with a butcher knife. I waited and did not hear anything. Finally, I moved to the ground level floor and was able to see out through a peephole. There was no longer anyone there. This has never happened to me at my residence before. Due to the timing and based on Ms. Block's alleged prior conduct toward the Penningtons, I believed the person knocking on my door was either Ms. Block or someone acting on her behalf. I called 911 and spoke with a dispatcher. I briefly explained what had happened and asked to have a deputy come to check the area to make sure no one was still outside. I made a report to the King County Sheriff's Office (KCSO). See case KCSO case 108902 for information.

On April 19, 2015 at 0938 hours, about seven hours after the knocking on my door, I received the following email:

Ms Batoit-

Information coming in on your relationship with wife/child abuser John E Pennington is not looking good. Police records confirm that you failed to charge Johnny ole boy when he tampered with USPS mail, abused Hill's daughter Jayden, interfered with Ms Slocum's custodial rights, Hill charged a police officer violently in one report, and the list goes on.

By the evidence collected so far we can infer that what you job was for the Enterprise.

The Gold Bar Reporters would like comment from you before additional articles are published, and of course before the public emails between you and abuser Johnny ole boy are partially released; for sure I will go after your personal emails and your personal cell phone records under the public records act because I believe you are one corrupt public officer.

Anne

Gold Bar Reporter

Pt for an Or for Protection – Harassment/Stalking (PTORAH, PTORSTK*) – Page 6 of 11 WPF UHST-02.0200 (01/2015) – RCW 10.14.040, .800, RCW 7.92.030

Anne Block

Sent from my iPad

On April 24, 2015 at 0235 hours, I received another phone call on my work cell phone from a restricted (blocked) number. Initially, I could not hear anything, but then the caller finally said, "hello." The caller seemed to be trying to alter his/her voice and claimed he/she had dialed the wrong number, but did not hang up. I asked repeatedly who was calling. The caller finally gave a muffled answer that sounded like "Ted". I then hung up the phone. The call was approximately 36 seconds long. I believe the caller was Ms. Block. A screenshot of that call is attached as **Exhibit F**.

I am aware that Ms. Block has hired people, including Mr. Harrison, to go to the Penningtons residence as recently as last month. I am aware of two of these incidents because they were reported to the Duvall Police Department. As set forth above, in those incidents, Ms. Block made face-to-face contact with the Pennington children at the door. I am afraid that she will do the same to me as this seems to be part of the pattern of intimidating techniques she has used. I am very concerned that about the safety of my own family. I do not know how far Ms. Block's stalking behavior will go or for how long it will continue. This knowledge, combined with Mr. Harrison's demonstration that he will contact me in my personal life, is extremely concerning to me and is causing me to feel emotional distress and fear for myself and my family.

Under the totality of these circumstances, I have started keeping a firearm in my home – something I have never done prior to April 2015. I have also installed video surveillance for my residence. I also notified my child's school out of concern that Ms. Block would try to harm or intimidate my child to further intimidate me.

Ms. Block's conduct has also interfered and influenced my ability to carry out the Pennington investigation and otherwise perform all the duties of my job.

6. How did the incidents you describe above make you, the minor, or the vulnerable adult feel I feel afraid for my personal safety, as well as the safety of my child. Ms. Block's conduct has negatively impacted my ability to perform my duties as a police officer. I feel as though she is
engaging in a patter of harassment and cyber-stalking in order to try to deter me from
investigating the Pennington complaint. I also worry that Ms. Block and/or her investigators wil
attempt to contact and intimidate my child, either at my residence or at my child's school.
attempt to contact and intimidate my child, either at my residence of at my child's school.
7. Has the respondent used, displayed, or threatened to use a firearm or other dangerous weapon in a felony? Please describe:
Not to my knowledge.
·

Pt for an Or for Protection – Harassment/Stalking (PTORAH, PTORSTK*) – Page 8 of 11 WPF UHST-02.0200 (01/2015) – RCW 10.14.040, .800, RCW 7.92.030

possess a firearm under the provisions of RCW 9.41.040? Please describe:

8. Has the respondent previously committed an offense that makes him or her ineligible to

Not	to my knowledge.
;	Does possession of a firearm or other dangerous weapon by the respondent present a serious and imminent threat to public health or safety, or to the health or safety of a victim? Please describe:
10.	Do you have any evidence of the harassment or stalking conduct other than testimony? ☑ No ☐ Yes. I have attached the following evidence: ☐ Copy of mail or written notes ☐ Copy of text messages ☐ Copy of emailed messages ☐ Copy of social media messages
	☐ Police report ☐ Declaration or Affidavit from the following witness: ☐ Other (describe):
•	Has/have the victim/s or the respondent ever requested or obtained protection from the other person in a restraining order, civil protection order, or criminal no-contact order? If yes, list the type of order, the name of the court and the approximate date, and whether the request was granted: No.
-	

12.	Is there any other litigation between the victim/s and the respondent? This includes all matters - pending or past - such as parenting plans, landlord-tenant disputes, employment disputes, or property disputes. If yes, provide case number/s if known, type of case, and name of court:
	Yes. Ms. Block recently filed a tort claim with the City of Duvall, alleging that I
	violated her First Amendment rights in the course of my investigation of the
	Penningtons' criminal complaint against Ms. Block.
	Requests
13	. I ask the Court for an order approving the following requests for protection:
ΙF	Request an Order for Protection following a hearing that will:
×	No-Contact: restrain the respondent from making any attempts or having any contact, including nonphysical contact, with the person/s to be protected, directly, indirectly, or through third parties regardless of whether those third parties know of the order, except for mailing of court documents.
×	Surveillance: prohibit or restrain the respondent from making any attempt to keep or from keeping the person/s to be protected under surveillance, including electronic surveillance.
×	Exclude from places: exclude the respondent from the ⊠ residence ⊠ workplace ⊠ school □ day care of the person/s to be protected.
×	Stay Away: Prohibit or restrain the respondent from entering or being within, or from knowingly coming within, or knowingly remaining within 1,000 feet of the ⊠ residence ⊠ workplace ⊠ school □ day care of the person/s to be protected.
<u> </u>	other locations:
] Other:
	Evaluation : Order the respondent to have a ☐ mental health ☐ chemical dependency evaluation. ☐ other:
	Pay Fees and Costs: Require the respondent to pay fees and costs of this action, which may include administrative court costs and service fees and petitioner's costs including attorneys' fees.
	Surrender Firearms: Require the respondent to surrender any firearm or other dangerous weapon, or any concealed pistol license and prohibit the respondent from obtaining or possessing a firearm or other dangerous weapon, or a concealed pistol license.

×	☑ Duration: Remain effective longer than one year because respondent is likely to resume acts of unlawful harassment or stalking conduct against the persons to be protected if the order expires in a year.
	Emergency temporary protection (up to 14 days) until the court hearing:
×	An emergency exists as described below. I request that a Temporary Protection Order granting the relief I requested above for a no-contact, surveillance, exclude from places, or stay away order be issued immediately, without prior notice to the respondent, to be effective until the hearing.
	I also request a temporary surrender of a firearm or other dangerous weapon without notice to the other party because irreparable injury could result if an order is not issued until the hearing.
	What irreparable harm would result if an order is not issued immediately without prior notice of the respondent?
C	am afraid Ms. Block will continue to email and call me if she is not ordered to immediately ease all contact. I fear that she or her investigators will attempt to contact my child or family nembers in the same way they did to the Pennington children.
-	
-	
-	
-	
tru	certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the state of Washington that the foregoing is use and correct. at Shore line Washington. Petitioner Print or type name
My	y address for the purpose of receiving service of legal documents is:
2	26225 NE Stephens St, Dovall, UNA 98019.
⊠ re:	